

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,064	10/797,064 03/11/2004		Masamichi Andoh	M1071.1898	4702		
32172	7590	04/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	N SHAPIROUE OF THE	HAM, SEU	HAM, SEUNGSOOK				
41 ST FL.	OL OI IIIL	Thirders (01)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714						
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/797,064	ANDOH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Seungsook Ham	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rephy period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	une 2004.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* *	•	•			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	unriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		, (a) 3. (i).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		<del></del>				
	application from the International Bureau	·	ou in this realisma stage				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed.				
				6			
Attachmen	ut(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

## Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, figures 1A-3B, and 8, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a support base disposed on a support columns;

Species II, figures 5A-6B, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a support base with a center hole where the dielectric core is disposed;

Species III, figures 9A-9D, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a square-shaped dielectric core is rotated at 45 degree with respect to the support base;

Species IV, figures 10 and 13, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a dielectric core with a center hole in x, y or z direction and a conductor wire passed through the center hole; and

Species V, figure 14A and 14B, relates to a dielectric resonator device having a cross shape dielectric core having an octagonal shape with cutting the four corners in x-y plane.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/797,064

Art Unit: 2817

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817